

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,838	6,838 09/05/2003		Jianjun Cheng	ITI-P01-008	8403
28120	7590	01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & NE	AVE IP	GROUP	MAIER, LEIGH C		
ROPES & G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, N			1623		
				DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	,

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/656,838	CHENG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leigh C. Maier	1623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stati- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may be will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this control (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	•	nis action is non-final.					
3)□	·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application	on.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		to by the Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreional All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
٠,١	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		• •	Stage			
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other: _	<u> </u>				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, 27, 34 and 35, drawn to cyclodextrin-containing polymers and method of preparing said polymers, classified in class 525, subclass 54.1+.
- II. Claims 21, drawn to a method of treating a subject using cyclodextrin-containing polymers, classified in class 514, subclass 54+.
- III. Claims 22-26, drawn to business methods using cyclodextrin-containing polymers, classified in class 705, subclass 1+.
- IV. Claims 28-33, drawn to cyclodextrin derivatives, classified in class 536, subclass103.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and both of II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the claims recite therapeutic methods as well as business methods, clearly demonstrating that the product can be used in different processes.

Inventions IV and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and

the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to have utility independently as solubilizers for hydrophobic substances. The inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Groups II and III are methods of using the product of Group I. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Polymers having cyclodextrins in the backbone
- Polymers not having cyclodextrins in the backbone

Upon election of either of Group I, II or III, Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,838

Art Unit: 1623

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1623

Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0656. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday 7:00 to 3:30 (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Anna Jiang (571) 272-0627, may be contacted. The fax number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (571) 273-8300.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Leigh C. Maier Leigh C. Maier Primary Examiner

January 20, 2006